

Rubric for Regular Call, Spring 2023

Objectives

	The need(s) of the project is described clearly as a significant contribution to the field.	The need(s) of the project is described as a contribution to the field.	The need(s) of the project is understandable and might result in contributions to the field, but it is not explained plainly.	The need(s) of the project is described vaguely and difficult to understand.	The need(s) of the project is not evident as a contribution to the field.
	The specific objective(s) and goals are described clearly. Underlying theories and rationales (or research questions and variables in case of traditional research projects) are explained sufficiently and explicitly.	The objective(s) and goal(s) are described. Underlying theories and rationales (or research questions and variables in case of traditional research projects) are not explained sufficiently and explicitly.	The objective(s) and goal(s) are described vaguely. Underlying theories and rationales (or research questions and variables in case of traditional research projects) are not explained completely.	The objective(s) and goal(s) are noted, but they do not match the scope or focus of the project.	The proposal does not offer an objective or goal.

	A timeline demonstrates the completion of the proposed project within the grant cycle.	A timeline is included, but it is rather general. The timeline demonstrates the completion of the proposed project within the grant cycle.	While the timeline demonstrates the completion of the proposed project within the grant cycle, the timeline is not reasonable.	A timeline is provided, but it does not ensure the completion of the project within the grant cycle.
--	--	--	--	--

	plan to sustain the project, is provided and steps have been taken to secure funding/ensure sustainability.	plan to sustain the project, is provided, but no steps have been taken to secure either.	provided but not clearly described.	minimally provided.	sustainability plan, is provided.
Are the PI (and Co-PIs) appropriately trained and qualified to carry out the proposed work? Is the proposed activity appropriate to the experience level of the PI (and Co-PIs)?				PI has substantiated appropriate training and qualifications to carry out the proposed work. The proposed activity is appropriate for the PI's level of experience.	PI has not substantiated appropriate training and qualifications to carry out the proposed work. The proposed activity is inappropriate for the PI's level of experience.